Preparation of Novel MgCl₂-Adduct Supported Spherical Ziegler–Natta Catalyst for α -Olefin Polymerization

Li Wang, Hao-Jie Yu, Zhen-Li Ma, Zhao-Yang Ye, Shan Jiang, Lian-Fang Feng, Xue-Ping Gu

State Key Laboratory of Polymer Reaction Engineering, College of Materials Science and Chemical Engineering, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, People's Republic of China

Received 26 January 2005; accepted 26 May 2005 DOI 10.1002/app.22332 Published online in Wiley InterScience (www.interscience.wiley.com).

ABSTRACT: In this article, preparation of novel MgCl₂adduct supported spherical Ziegler–Natta catalyst for α -olefin polymerization is reported. The factors affecting the particle size (PS) and particle size distribution (PSD) of the prepared support were investigated. In this method, the internal donor added while preparing MgCl₂-adduct support was supposed to act as a crosslinking agent. Therefore it provided a reasonable way to enhance the morphology and control the PS of the resultant polymer particles. The possible mechanism is discussed. © 2005 Wiley Periodicals, Inc. J Appl Polym Sci 99: 945–948, 2006

Key words: spherical MgCl₂-adduct support; morphology; spherical Ziegler–Natta catalyst; particle size; propene polymerization

INTRODUCTION

Polyolefin is one of the most widely used polymer materials. The ultimate key to the development of industrial olefin polymers is the renovation of catalyst. Generations of Ziegler-Natta catalyst for propene polymerization brought about spectacular progress. The concept of the total control of polymer by using Ziegler-Natta catalyst gradually gained ground.¹⁻⁴ Recently, by making use of the replication phenomenon reported many years ago,² active species supported on spherical MgCl₂ support have made great progress in controlling polymer morphology as spherical particles.^{5–10} This has led to the invention of the fourth-generation catalyst, which can produce polymers with controlled morphology. By using this kind of catalyst, the resultant polymer can replace the pellets, thereby avoiding the granulation phase,⁶ which will greatly simplify the posttreatment process and save energy.

To fulfill this aim, research work was performed focusing on synthesizing the "ideal" support, which is indispensable for the "ideal" catalyst, for the morphology and composition of the support play the determining role on the morphology of the final product. Much interest has been taken in the recrystallization method, which involves dissolving MgCl₂ in electrondonor solvents, e.g., alcohols and ethers, then recrystallizing MgCl₂ from the solution.^{5,10–14} In our previous work, different factors affecting the preparation of spherical MgCl₂ support were investigated. It was found that the spherical morphology is easy to achieve, but the firmness of the support was rather difficult to control. One of the basic requirements for the catalyst is to have enough mechanical resistance to withstand manipulations and enough looseness to allow the pores developed by the growing polymer to break down the catalyst into microscopical particles that remain entrapped in the polymer. How to modulate the firmness of the catalyst to a proper extent in the recrystallization method still remains a big problem.

Here we deal with this problem through a novel route of synthesizing the spherical MgCl₂ adduct support material containing di-*i*-butylphthalate. By applying this technology the sequent spherical catalyst was prepared, and furthermore, the spherical polypropene product was obtained. The resultant polypropene product showed good morphology.

EXPERIMENTAL

Materials

Anhydrous MgCl₂, AlEt₃ (Fluka, Buchs, Switzerland), and di-*i*-butylphthalate (DIBP) (Acros, Geel, Belgium) were used as received. Diphenyldimethoxysiane, ethanol, paraffin oil, and methyl siloxane were dried over 4-Å molecular sieves before use. Hexane, toluene, and petroleum ether were refluxed over a Na–K alloy before use. Polymerization-grade propene was further

Correspondence to: L. Wang (opl_wl@dial.zju.edu.cn).

Contract grant sponsors: The National Natural Science Foundation of China and the Science and Technology Commission of Zhejiang Province.

Journal of Applied Polymer Science, Vol. 99, 945–948 (2006) © 2005 Wiley Periodicals, Inc.

Preparatio	TABLE I n Conditions of Spherical	MgCl ₂ Adducts
Sample	FtOH/MgCl_	DIBP/MgCl

no.	(mol/mol)	(mol/mol)
Is	2.8	0.177
2s	2.8	0.091
3s	3.2	0.090
4s	3.2	0

purified by passing over 4-Å molecular sieves. Preparation and manipulation were all carried out under dry, oxygen-free argon gas, using standard Schlenk technique.

Preparation of the spherical mgcl₂ adducts

First, in a three-necked, 250-mL flask (the first reactor) with a mechanical stirrer, 8 g of MgCl₂ was completely dissolved in ethanol at 70–80°C and then DIBP was added to the solution (the mole ratio of the reactants are shown in Table I). In another flask, a mixture (150 mL) of methyl siloxane and paraffin oil (1/1 v/v) was prepared at 120°C, and then the mixture in the first reactor was transferred into this reactor (the second reactor). Here, the MgCl₂ solution was dispersed in the inert medium at 120°C (the final dispersion). In the end, the resultant mixture was introduced into 300 mL of *n*-hexane at -20° C in the third reactor, and the final MgCl₂ was recrystallized, rinsed with *n*-hexane three times, and dried in vacuo. In the previous ordinary methods no internal donor was added.¹⁵

Characterization

The procedures of preparing the catalyst and polymerizations are the same as described in an earlier article.¹⁵ Photographs of MgCl₂ adducts, catalysts, and



Figure 1 Morphology of spherical MgCl₂: (a) 1s, (b) 2s, (c) 3s, and (d) 4s.



Figure 2 The particle size distribution of supports prepared by adding DIBP.

polymers were taken on a Nitachis-450 optical microscope. The catalyst particles were dispersed in anhydrous hexane and polymer particles in anhydrous ethanol. The particle size (PS) and particle size distribution (PSD) of the spherical support were measured on a Coulter LS-230 particle size analyzer.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Spherical mgcl₂ support material

The MgCl₂ spherical adduct support material produced by the novel route shows a better morphology



The Influence of DI Content During S	TABLE BP/MgCl ₂ (Support Tre	ll (mol/mol) on Ti atment with Tita	Loading mium
	Adding	Total DIBP /	T; (%

Catalyst	Support	Adding DIBP/MgCl ₂	MgCl ₂	T1 (%, w/w)
3c1	3s	0	0.090	2.93
3c2	3s	0.180	0.270	8.24

than the support prepared by the ordinary way (Fig. 1), and fewer broken pieces were observed.

The possible reactions of preparation process and the composition of the MgCl2 adducts are shown as eqs. (1) and (2).¹⁶

$$MgCl_2 + EtOH \rightarrow MgCl_2 \cdot EtOH$$
 (1)

$$MgCl_2 \cdot EtOH + DIBP \leftrightarrow MgCl_2 \cdot EtOH \cdot DIBP$$
 (2)

Different conditions of preparing the support were investigated (Table I). It is found that DIBP/MgCl₂ ratio may change the PS and PSD of the prepared MgCl₂ adducts (Fig. 2), therefore this novel route provides a way to control the PS of the final product.

Equation (2) shows that after adding DIBP into the MgCl₂ alcohol solution, MgCl₂·EtOH and MgCl₂· EtOH DIBP complexes will reach an equilibrium in the reactor. In this way, the addition of DIBP may change the surface tension and viscosity of the solution, and therefore affect the formation of the spherical particles. Furthermore, DIBP may also influence the crystallization process in the precipitation step. The reaction of DIBP with MgCl₂ has long been reported.^{2,4,17,18} It was supposed that DIBP was more likely to absorb on the 110 face of the MgCl₂. When DIBP reacts with two different microcrystallites, it plays a role of a crosslinking agent (Scheme 1), thereby strengthening the intensity of the recrystallizing particles and controling the PS. The support prepared by this route is in favor of getting rid of the fragility of catalyst, which is preferable in the sequential preparation of catalyst and the polymerization reaction. What is more, it is easy to adjust the crosslinking extent or the firmness of the MgCl₂-adduct support by changing the amount of DIBP added.



Figure 3 Morphology of catalyst: (a) 3c1 and (b) 3c2.



Figure 4 Morphology of polymer catalyzed by catalyst 3c1; P, 1.0 atm.

The process of support preparation is supposed to be a complex one. There still exist a lot of factors that can influence the PS and PSD of the resultant MgCl₂ support.¹⁵ However, adding DIBP provides a reasonable way to adjust the firmness of the MgCl₂ adduct support and to improve the morphology of the resultant support as well.

Spherical catalyst

It is found that the addition of DIBP during the support treatment with titanium was in favor of the titanium loading (Table II). Yang et al.¹⁹ reported a possible mechanism by which DIBP may complex with titanium. In this mechanism, DIBP took part in the formation of the active species. The amount of DIBP added in preparing the catalyst has little influence on the morphology of the catalyst (Fig. 3). And the prepared catalyst kept the spherical morphology of the support.

Resultant polypropylene

Polymers produced by using catalyst 3c1 present a good morphology (Fig. 4). The most outstanding advantage was that the polymerization of 3c1 was carried out under a normal pressure. It means that the catalyst can produce polymers with good morphology and large size even under low monomer concentration, which will be very beneficial in industrial application.

CONCLUSIONS

The spherical MgCl₂ adduct support material was synthesized by a novel route. It is supposed that the addition of DIBP in this new method provides a way to adjust the firmness of the MgCl₂ adduct, and to control the morphology and the PS of the support. The resultant polymers show a good morphology. Though the mechanism is still a matter of discussion, the application of this novel method in industrial field is quite promising.

References

- 1. Galli, P.; Luciani, L.; Cecchin, G. Angew Makromol Chem 1981, 94, 63.
- 2. Galli, P.; Barbe, P. C.; Noristi, L. Angew Makromol Chem 1984, 120, 73.
- 3. Sobota, P. Polym Plast Technol Eng 1989, 28, 493.
- 4. Wang, L.; Feng, L. X.; Xu, J. T.; Yang, S. L. Chin J Polym Sci 1995, 13, 41.
- 5. Rerraris, M.; Rosati, F.; Parodi, S.; Giannetti, E.; Motroni, G.; Albizzati, E. U.S. Pat. 4,399,054 (1983).
- 6. Covezzi, M. Macromol Symp 1995, 89, 577.
- 7. Wu, L.; Lynch, D. T.; Wanke, S. E. Macromol 1999, 32, 7990.
- Simonazzi, T.; Cecchin, G.; Mazzullo, S. Prog Polym Sci 1991, 16, 303.
- Yu, H. J; Wang, L.; Ye, Z. Y.; Ma, Z. L.; Jiang, S.; Wang, J F.; Feng, L. F. Poly-Plast Tech Eng 2004, 43, 1115.

- 10. Mao, B. Q.; Yang, J. X.; Li, Z. L.; Yang, A. C.; Zheng, Y.; Xia, X. Z. Chinese Pat. CN 1091748A (1994).
- 11. Handlir, K.; Holeck, J.; Klukorka, J; Bocek, V. Int Polym Sci Tech 1986, 13, 1.
- 12. Magalhhães, D. N. T.; Filho, O. D. C.; Coutinko, F. M. B. Eur Polym Mater 1991, 27, 827.
- 13. Rerraris, M.; Rosati, F. U.S. Pat. 4,469,648 (1984).
- 14. Mario, S.; Illaro, C.; Gianni, P. Eur Pat. 0553805 A1 (1993).
- Ye, Z. Y.; Wang, L.; Feng, L. F.; Gu, X. P.; Chen, H. H.; Zhang, P. Y.; Pan, J.; Jiang, S.; Feng, L. X. J Polym Sci Part A: Polym Chem 2002, 18, 3112.
- Hu, Y. L.; Chien, C. W. J Polym Sci Part A: Polym Chem 2003 1988, 26.
- 17. Busico, V.; Corradini, P.; Martino, L. D.; Proto A.; Savino V. Makromol Chem 1985, 186, 1279.
- 18. Soga, K.; Shiono, T.; Doi, Y. Mackromol Chem 1987, 189, 1531.
- Yang, C. B.; Hsu, C. C.; Park, Y. S.; Shurvell, H. F. Eur Polym Mater 1994, 30, 205.